HARTL, G. B.; SUCHENTRUNK, F.; WILLING, R.; PETZNEK, R. (1995): Allozyme heterozygosity and fluctuating asymmetry in the brown hare (*Lepus europaeus*): a test of the developmental homeostasis. Phil. Trans. Soc. Lond. B, **350**, 313–323.

HARTL, G. B.; MARKOWSKI, J.; KOVACS, G.; GRILLITSCH, M.; WILLING, R. (1990): Biochemical variation and differentiation in the brown hare (*Lepus europaeus*) of Central Europe. Z. Säugetierkunde **55**, 186–193.

HARTL, G. B.; MARKOWSKI, J.; SWIATECKI, A.; JANISZEWSKI, T.; WILLING, R. (1992): Genetic diversity in the Polish brown hare *Lepus europaeus* Pallas, 1778: implications for conservation and management. Acta Theriol. **37**, 15–25.

HIBBARD, C. W. (1963): The origin of the P₃ pattern of *Sylvilagus*, *Caprolagus*, *Oryctolagus* and *Lepus*. J. Mammalogy **44**, 1–15.

LAGESEN, K.; FOLSTAD, I. (1998): Antler asymmetry and immunity in reindeer. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. **44**, 135–142.

LEE, J. C. (1990): Sources of extraneous variation in the study of meristic characters: the effect of size and inter-observer variability. Syst. Zool. **39**, 47–65.

LEARY, R. F.; ALLENDORF, F. W.; KNUDSEN, K. L. (1985): Developmental instability and high meristic counts in interspecific hybrids of salmonid fishes. Evolution **39**, 1318–1326.

MITTON, J. B. (1993 a). Enzyme heterozygosity, metabolism, and developmental stability. Genetica **89**, 47–65.

MITTON, J. B. (1993 b): Theory and data pertinent to the relationship between heterozygosity and fitness. In: The Natural History of Inbreeding and Outbreeding. Theoretical and Empirical Perspectives. Ed. by N. W. THORNHILL. Chicago, London: Univ. Chicago Press. Pp. 17–41.

MITTON, J. B. (1995): Enzyme heterozygosity and developmental stability. Acta Theriol., Suppl. **3**, 33–54.

MITTON, J. B.; PIERCE, B. A. (1980): The distribution of individual heterozygosity in natural populations. Genetics **95**, 1043–1054.

MITTON, J. B.; GRANT, M. C. (1984): Associations among protein heterozygosity, growth rate, and developmental homeostasis. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. **15**, 479–499.

Møller, A. P. (1997): Developmental stability and fitness: A review. Am. Nat. 149, 916–932.

Møller, A. P.; Swaddle, J. P. (1997): Asymmetry, Developmental Stability, and Evolution. Oxford Series in Ecology and Evolution. Oxford: Oxford Univ. Press.

MULVEY, M.; KELLER, G. P.; MEFFE, G. P. (1994): Single- and multiple-locus genotypes and life-history responses of *Gambusia holbrooki* reared at two temperatures. Evolution **48**, 1810–1819.

NEI, M. (1987). Molecular Evolutionary Genetics. New York: Columbia University Press.

NOVAK, J. M.; RHOES, O. E., JR.; SMITH, M. H.; CHESSER, R. K. (1993): Morphological asymmetry in mammals: genetics and homeostasis reconsidered. Acta Theriol. **38**, Suppl. 2, 7–18.

PALACIOS, F.; LÓPEZ, N. (1980): Morphologia dentaria de las liebres europeas (Lagomorpha, Leporidae). Doñana, Acta Vertebr. **7**, 61–81.

PALMER, R. A. (1994): Fluctuating asymmetry analyses: A primer. In: Developmental Instability: Its Origins and Evolutionary Implications. Ed. by T. A. MARKOW. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Kluwer. Pp. 335–364.

PALMER, R. A.; STROBECK, C. (1986): Fluctuating asymmetry: Measurement, analysis, patterns. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. **17**, 391–421.

PANKAKOSKI, E. (1985): Epigenetic asymmetry as an ecological indicator in muskrats. J. Mammalogy **66**, 52–57.

PANKAKOSKI, E.; KOIVISTO, I.; HYVARINEN, H. (1992): Reduced developmental stability as an indicator of heavy metal pollution in the common shrew, *Sorex araneus*. Acta Zool. Fenn. **191**, 137–144.

RICE, W. S. (1989): Analyzing tables of statistical tests. Evolution **43**, 223–225.

SIEGEL, M. I.; DOYLE, W. J. (1975 a): Stress and fluctuating limb asymmetry in various species of rodents. Growth **39**, 363–369.

SIEGEL, M. I.; DOYLE, W. J. (1975 b): The effects of cold stress on fluctuating asymmetry in the dentition of the mouse. J. exp. Zoology **193**, 385–391.

SUCHENTRUNK, F. (1993): Variability of minor tooth traits and allozymic diversity in brown hare *Lepus eurolapeus* populations. Acta Theriol. **38**, Suppl. 2, 59–69.

SUCHENTRUNK, F. (2000): Epigenetic dental asymmetry of Israeli hares (*Lepus* sp.): developmental stability along an environmental gradient. Isr. J. Zoology **46**, 103–118.

SUCHENTRUNK, F.; FLUX, J. E. C. (1996): Minor tooth traits in East African Cape hares and Savanna hares (*Lepus capensis* and *L. victoriae*): A study of intra- and interspecific variability. J. Zool. (London) **238**, 495–51 l.

SUCHENTRUNK, F.; HARTL, G. B.; WILLING, R. (1991): On eye lens weight and other age criteria of the Brown hare (*Lepus europaeus* Pallas 1778). Z. Säugetierkunde **56**, 365–374.

SUCHENTRUNK, F.;   WILLING, R.;   HARTL, G. B. (1994): Non-metric polymorphism of the first lower premolar (P_3) in Austrian brown hares (Lepus europaeus): a study of regional differentiation. J. Zool. (London) **232**, 79–91.

SUCHENTRUNK, F.;   JASCHKE, C.;   HAIDEN, A. (2000a): Little allozyme and mtDNA variability in brown hares (*Lepus europaeus*) from New Zealand and Britain – A legacy of bottlenecks? Mamm. biol. **66**, 48–59.

SUCHENTRUNK, F.; ALKON, P. U.; WILLING, R.; YOM-Tov, Y. (2000b): Epigenetic dental variability of Israeli hares (*Lepus* sp.): ecogenetic or phylogenetic causation? J. Zool. (London) **252**, 503–515.

SUCHENTRUNK, F.;   MICHAILOV, C.;   MARKOV, G.; HAIDEN, A. (2000c): Population genetics of Bulgarian brown hares Lepus europaeus: allozymic diversity at zoogeographical crossroads. Acta Theriol. **45**, 1–12.

SUCHENTRUNK, F.;   HARTL, G. B.;   FLUX, J. E. C.; PARKES, J.; HAIDEN, A.; TAPPER, S. (1998): Allozyme heterozygosity and fluctuating asymmetry in brown hares Lepus europaeus introduced to New Zealand: Developmental homeostasis in populations with a bottleneck history. Acta Teriol., Suppl. **5**, 35–52.

SUCHENTRUNK, F.; POLSTER, K.; GIACOMETTI, M.; RATTI, P.; THULIN, C.-G.; RUHLÉ, C.; VASIL'EV, A. G.; SLOTTA-BACHMAYR, L. (1999): Spatial partitioning of allozyme variability in European mountain hares (*Lepus timidus*): gene pool divergence across a disjunct distributional range? Z. Säugetierkunde **64**, 1–11.

SWOFFORD, D. L.;   SELANDER, R. B.   (1989): BIOSYS-1. A computer program for the analysis of allelic variation in population genetics and biochemical systematics. Release 1.7. Users manual. Champaign: Illinois Natural History Survey.

TEISBERG, P. (1970): High voltage agarose gel electrophoresis in the study of C3 polymorphism. Vox sanguinis **19**, 47–56.

TESKA, W. R.; SMITH, M. H.; NOVAK, J. M. (1991): Food quality, heterozygosity, and fitness correlates in *Peromyscus polionotus*. Evolution **44**, 1318–1325.

TURELLI, M.; GINZBURG, L. (1983): Should individual fitness increase with heterozygosity? Genetics **104**, 191–209.

VALETZKY, A. V.; DMITRIEVA, I. L.; KRUSHINSKAYA, N. L.; ZAKHAROV, V. M. (1997): Social stress impact on developmental stability of laboratory rat *Rattus norvegicus*. Acta Theriol, Suppl. **5**, 27–32.

WOOTEN, M. C.; SMITH, M. H. (1986): Fluctuating asymmetry and genetic variability in a natural population of *Mus musculus*. J. Mammalogy **67**, 725–732.

ZAKHAROV, V. M. (1981): Fluctuating asymmetry as an index of developmental homeostasis. Genetika **13**, 241–256.

ZAKHAROV, V. M.; VALETZKY, A. V.; YABLOKOV, A. V. (1997a): Dynamics of developmental stability of seals and pollution in the Baltic Sea. Acta Theriol., Suppl. **4**, 9–16.

Authors' addresses:
PAULO CELIO ALVES and NUNO FERRAND, Centro de Estudos de Ciência Animal (CECA), ICETA-UP, Campus Agrário de Vairão, 4485-661 Vairão, Vila do Conde and Departamento de Zoologia-Antropologia, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade do Porto, 4050 Porto, Portugal, FRANZ SUCHENTRUNK, Forschungsinstitut für Wildtierkunde und Ökologie der Veterinärmedizinischen Universität Wien, Savoyenstrasse 1, A-1160 Wien, Austria, e-mail: franz.suchentrunk@vu-wien.ac.at

Mammalian Biology

Zeitschrift für Säugetierkunde

Short communication

Notes on the ecology of sympatric small carnivores in southeastern China

By H. WANG and T. K. FULLER

Department of Natural Resources Conservation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst USA

The civets are diverse and prominent elements of old world tropical communities, demonstrating more ecological diversification in trophic specialization and substrate use than any other family of carnivores (EISENBERG 1981). They are also the least known carnivore group in the world (WEMMER and WATLING 1986), especially in Asia, even though many species have ecological and economic significance and have long been harvested for their pelts, meat, and musk. We radio-tracked 5 masked palm civets (*Paguma larvata* H. Smith, 1827), 2 small Indian civets (*Viverricula indica* Demarest, 1817) and 1 crabcating mongoose (*Herpestes urva* Hodgson, 1836) in northern Jiangxi Province, southeastern China during April 1993–November 1994 to understand more about the small carnivore community there.

The study site near Taohong Village is located in northern Jiangxi Province about 15 km south of the Yangtze River and about 490 km WSW of Shanghai. It is in a small, V-shaped valley about 6 km long at the foot of Mount Taohong and surrounded by a stretch of low and undulating hills (50–530 m above sea level). The climate is moist monsoon type with typical temperate climate seasonal changes. The average annual temperature is around 16.3 °C, and the annual precipitation is 1 326 mm, of which over 40% falls as rain during May–July.

All the arable lands at the bottom of the valley are under cultivation and many gentle hills and slopes also are now farmland. Above the farmland the major vegetation is a combination of tall grasses (*Themeda triandra, Imperala cylindirca*, and *Arundinella* spp.) and secondary growth of shrub species (*Lespecdeza bicolor, L. formosa, Rhus chinensis*, and *Rhododendron simsii*) that is maintained by annual firewood collection and frequent fires. Only in some remote areas or regions posted by the local forest farms do small patches of deciduous broadleaf and, in rarer frequency, evergreen-deciduous broadleaf forest remain.

The northwest part of Taohong Village is included in the Taohongling Sika Deer Reserve that was established to protect a remnant population of the endangered subspecies of sika deer (*Cervus nippon kopschi* Swinhoe, 1873) in 1981. A general survey on the fauna and flora of the reserve was carried out in and near the reserve during 1988–89; this is the only source of background information for that area (DING 1990).

Most of the study animals were caught by the local trappers with traditional bamboo foothold snares (HAN 1960); only one masked

palm civet was captured in a cage-type live trap. Captured animals were weighed to calculate drug dose, then immobilized with Telezol (Tiletamine HCL and Zolazepam HCL) or Ketaset (Ketamine HCI) at a dosage of 10 mg/kg body weight. After sex was determined and body measurements recorded, each animal was fitted with radiocollar (with 15-cm whip antennas) weighing < 5% of their body weight. All immobilized animals were held in cages then released after full recovery from the drugs' effects.

Activity of marked animals was determined by listening for changes in radio signal strength during a 60-second period. Consecutive readings were taken with an interval of at least 30 minutes. The activity level (% active) was calculated as the number of active readings divided by the total number of readings. Collared animals were generally located a minimum of 3 times a week by walking in on the animals' resting site during periods of inactivity. The term "daybed" is used to designate those resting sites (RABINOWITZ 1991). The general external characteristics of daybeds were recorded to categorize them. Daily movements were calculated as the linear distances between 2 consecutive daybeds. Re-use rates of daybeds were calculated as the total number of locations divided by the number of different daybeds (PALOMARES and DELIBES 1993, 1994). The resting home ranges of the marked animals were calculated as minimum convex polygons (MOHR 1947) with the RANGES V program (KENWARD and HODDER 1996), based on the locations of daybeds and capture sites (PALOMARES and DELIBES 1994).

Masked palm civets were active > 50% of the time between 18.00 and 05.00 hours (Tab. 1). Their activity declined throughout

Table 1. Percent of checks indicating activity for radio-marked carnivores studied near Taohong Village, southeastern China during April 1993–November 1994

Hour	Masked palm civet		Small Indian civet		Crab-eating mongoose	
	No. of checks	Percent active	No. of checks	Percent active	No. of checks	Percent active
01.00	1	100	2	100	–	–
02.00	7	57	–	–	–	–
03.00	8	100	2	100	–	–
04.00	19	53	–	–	–	–
05.00	40	68	1	100	–	–
06.00	94	45	3	33	–	–
07.00	101	39	2	0	–	–
08.00	104	21	–	–	1	0
09.00	135	15	1	0	–	–
10.00	144	22	–	–	4	25
11.00	112	9	–	–	4	25
12.00	90	3	–	–	3	100
13.00	48	14	2	0	3	67
14.00	51	14	2	0	–	–
15.00	66	17	2	0	3	67
16.00	137	14	6	17	4	100
17.00	178	33	12	42	2	100
18.00	167	74	20	85	2	50
19.00	60	88	6	100	–	–
20.00	45	89	4	100	–	–
21.00	42	93	–	–	–	–
22.00	27	89	4	100	–	–
23.00	13	93	1	100	–	–
24.00	6	83	–	–	–	–

the morning, with a nadir at 12.00 hours, then remained moderately low until 18.00 hours. Limited data for small Indian civets seemed to mirror that of masked palm civets, but the crab-eating mongoose was clearly very active (56% of 25 readings) when monitored between 10.00 and 18.00 hours (Tab. 1). Masked palm civets have been reported elsewhere to have 2 nocturnal peaks of activity (ZHANG et al. 1991), and small Indian civets apparently have either 1 (WANG et al. 1976; RABINO-WITZ 1991) or 2 (SHENG and XU 1990). Like we found, GAO (1987) indicated that crab-eating mongooses were diurnal.

All the daybeds of the masked palm civets were underground burrows, mainly the abandoned dens of porcupines (*Hystrix brachyura* Cuvier, 1822). In contrast, all the daybeds of the small Indian civets we examined were on the ground, usually under dense bushes or among tall grass. In some cases adjacent daybeds were located so close to each other (e. g., 4 daybeds with an area of 2 m^2) as to practically form daybed groups. The few daybeds used by the crab-eating mongoose were underground dens. RABINOWITZ (1991) reported that the small civets (including small Indian civet and masked palm civet in his study) were located in tree beds 86% of the time; we did not find use of tree beds by the masked palm-civets though there were enough big trees within their habitat. GAO (1987) observed that masked palm civets commonly rest in dens in winter and spring and often use the dense bush in the hot summer. Thus, factors other than the availability of proper-sized trees would seem to affect civet daybed selection.

All 3 species did not use permanent dens but moved among numerous daybeds. The average daybed reuse rate was 2.5 times for the 5 masked palm civets (range 1.2–4.2), but increased with the total number of locations obtained ($r^2 = 0.86$, 4.d.f., $P = 0.005$), as might be expected. The reuse rate was 3.6 for the small Indian civet. However, the animals did not use their daybeds randomly but showed strong preferences. Overall, masked palm civets used their daybeds only once (59% of 124), twice (14%) or 3 times (11%), but some daybeds were frequently used; 7 were used 6–10 times each and 5 were used 10–17 times each. Similarly, small Indian civets often used their daybeds only once (43% of 14) or twice (29%), but 4 were used 6–10 times each.

The daybeds of the small Indian civet and crab-eating mongoose were located solely in the foothill region adjacent to the farmland. Some daybeds of masked palm civets were located in the low bushes and tall grass that covered the hilly region bordering farmland, but many were also farther above in the woods. This difference in habi-

Table 2. Altitude (m asl) of daybeds used, distance (m) between consecutive daily locations, and resting home range sizes (ha) of radio-marked sympatric small carnivores studied near Taohong Village, southeastern China during April 1993–November 1994

Species	Sex	No. of locations	No. of different daybeds	Daybed altitude x ± SD^2	Min.[b]	Max.[c]	Distance between locations x ± SD	Max.	Tracking period	Home range size
Masked	F	104	25	92 ± 23	45	145	560 ± 448	1 960	04/93–11/93	288
palm	F	89	31	85 ± 17	46	125	248 ± 313	1 155	06/93–12/93	190
civet	M	21	17	151 ± 91	53	363			12/93–07/94	410
	M	39	23	122 ± 31	65	170	681 ± 414	1 450	03/94–11/94	182
	M	64	28	97 ± 66	30	363	177 ± 223	805	10/93–07/94	346
Small In-	M	47	13	86 ± 18	40	108	613 ± 686	2 395	03/94–06/94	227
dian civet	M	3	3	59 ± 14	43	70			06/94–07/94	7
Crab-eating mongoose	F	7	6	78 ± 33	30	105			02/94–03/94	100